

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2010) KLR VOL 12 PART 288 pp. 3069 - 3304

DECEMBER + MORE 2010

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

Nnabuike S. Orji Esq. Managing Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

DECEMBER CONTD.

- 15. General Electric Company v. Akande p. 3069
- 16. Okonta v. Philips p. 3095
- 17. Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101
- 18. Osun State Independent Electoral Commission v. Action Congress. p. 3137
- 19. Tanko v. Echendu p. 3205

OMISSIONS

MARCH 2010

- 24. Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235

JUNE 2010

- 8. Ekeagwu v. The Nigerian Army p. 3251
- 9. Okonkwo v. Okonkwo p. 3269
- 10. Samba Petroleum Ltd. Union Bank For Africa Plc. p. 3289

NOTE:-

{This last book is containing 4 Supreme Court Judgments that were mistakenly omitted. As All SC Publishers, any other discovered omissions

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2010) 12 KLR

sion would be published in 2011 books.}

ACTIONS - Jurisdiction - How determined - In order to determine Jurisdiction - Court has to look at plaintiff's statement of claim and not the defence (H2) *Olofu v. Itodo* p. 3101

ACTIONS - Parties - Nonjoinder - Effect of - Where appellant was not joined at the proceedings - Which adversely affected him - Breach of his fundamental rights to fair hearing was occasioned - That rendered the action incompetent (H2) *Okonta v. Philips* p. 3095

ACTIONS - Proper parties - Necessity of - Where proper parties are not before the court - It does not assume jurisdiction - And can not make order affecting parties not so joined (H1) *Okonta v. Philips* p. 3095

APPEALS - Briefs - Reply - Failure to reply on issue raised - Effect - Appellant has not reacted contrary - To submission of respondents - And is deemed to have conceded same (H5) *Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army* p. 3251

APPEALS - Competency - Where Notice and grounds of appeal - Are held to be competent - The records and brief of argument - Are deemed to be duly filed (H4) *General Electric Company v. Akande* p. 3069

APPEALS - Court processes - Competency - Where processes for bringing an appeal are incompetent - The appeal itself is incompetent (H3) *Oketade v. Adewunmi* p. 3235

APPEALS - Determination of - Rehearing - An appellate court is entitled to exercise - All powers of the trial court - By hearing on printed records - And reexamining of all evidence tendered - In the determination of an appeal (H8) *Osun State INEC v. AC* p. 3137

APPEALS - Evaluation - Finding of trial court - On election notice - Trial court's finding on election notice - Was not only perverse - But was not based - On evidence in the record (H5) *Osun State INEC v. AC* p. 3137

APPEALS - Fair hearing - Complaint of breach - Propriety - Appellants who decided to stand by - And watch the outcome of the case at lower court - Can not complain of breach of fair hearing (H12) *Osun State INEC v. AC* p. 3137

APPEALS - Findings - Interference - Where trial court failed - To consider and evaluate evidence of parties - Appellate court has right - To evaluate and make necessary findings thereon (H6) *Osun State INEC v. AC* p. 3137

APPEALS - Issues raised - Duty of court to consider - Courts must consider all issues before it - Except the Supreme Court - Which can resolve an appeal - Based only on issues it deems fit (H4) *Samba Pet. Ltd. UBA* p. 3289

APPEALS - Judgments - Title & boundary dispute - Whether different - Court of Appeal was wrong in holding that only boundary dispute was in issue - And there is no distinction - Between boundary and land dispute (H1) *Tanko v. Echendu* p. 3205

APPEALS - Leave - Grounds - Whether of law or fact - The grounds in issue being of law - Leave is not required - Thus the Notice of Appeal is valid and competent (H3) *General Electric Company v. Akande* p. 3069

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Purpose - It is a statutory initiating process - Encapsulating complaints of appellant - Raised on valid grounds - Against the decision appealed (H1) *General Electric Company v. Akande* p. 3069

APPEALS - Parties - Misjoinder - Effect - The defect of misjoinder or nonjoinder of parties - Does not vitiate an appeal - When there are living parties - Willing to prosecute the case (H7) *General Electric Company v. Akande* p. 3069

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Propriety - Where issue in consideration is purely on law - Objection based on failure to obtain leave to appeal - Will fail (H1) *Samba Pet. Ltd. UBA* p. 3289

iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2010) 12 KLR

APPEALS - Purpose - It is a continuation of a suit - That complains against the decision of a trial or lower court - Absence of such decision - Makes an appeal impossible (H7) *Osun State INEC v. AC* p. 3137

APPEALS - Rehearing - Propriety - Court of Appeal rightly exercised its power of rehearing - And rightly granted reliefs 2-5 dismissed by the trial court (H9) *Osun State INEC v. AC* p. 3137

APPEALS - Right of appeal - Under s. 233 of 1999 Constitution - Propriety - Appeal could be as of right - Or with leave of Court - But failure to obtain leave where necessary - Will render such appeal incompetent (H2) *General Electric Company v. Akande* p. 3069

APPEALS - Title - Possession - Leases - Where lower court found that appellant leased the land to tenants - The act amounts to effective possession - And contrary holding is perverse (H9) *Tanko v. Echendu* p. 3205

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Absence of - Effect on appellate court - Where trial court has no jurisdiction to hear a matter - As in this case - Court of Appeal will be without jurisdiction (H8) *Olofu v. Itodo* p. 3101

COURTS - Jurisdiction - How activated - Failure of political party to comply with Electoral law - Confers jurisdiction on court - To protect rights of candidates - As to ensure order in the society (H5) *Olofu v. Itodo* p. 3101

COURTS - Statutory duty - To consider all issues raised - Failure to do same - Will lead to denial of fair hearing - Capable of nullifying the proceedings (H5) *Samba Pet. Ltd. UBA* p. 3289

COURTS - Trial - Fair hearing - Denial - Cross appellant was not given opportunity - To be heard in the normal course of trial (H3) *Samba Pet. Ltd.* p. 3289

ELECTIONS - Courts - Jurisdiction - Basis of - The Constitution confers exclusive jurisdiction - In concluded elections as in this case

on tribunals - And pre-election matters on High Courts (H6) Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101

ELECTIONS - Legislative powers - Of Local Government Councils - S. 121 Electoral Act & para 11 of 2nd Schedule to 1999 Constitution - Confer powers to National Assembly - To make laws regulating Local Government Elections (H2) Osun State INEC v. AC p. 3137

ELECTIONS - Local Government Councils - State legislative powers - Limit - Osun State House of Assembly - Has no power to make laws - Contrary to that made by National Assembly (H3) Osun State INEC v. AC p. 3137

ELECTIONS - National and State Legislation - Inconsistency - Effect - Where S. 10 Osun State Electoral law - Is inconsistent with S. 31 Electoral Act - That law is null and void - To the extent of its inconsistency (H4) Osun State INEC v. AC. p. 3137

ELECTIONS - Post election matters - Propriety - Where respondent alleged undue return of candidate - Such is post election matter - As confirmed by the reliefs sought in his originating summon (H7) Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101

ELECTIONS - Pre election matters - Substitution of candidate - Propriety - Only political party can nominate candidate for election - But in event of substitution of such candidate - Party must fulfil certain conditions (H3) Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101

ELECTIONS - Procedure - Marginal notes - Purpose - Ss. 31, 121 & 122 Electoral Act & para 11 of 2nd Schedule to 1999 Constitution - Deal with the procedure - As shown by the marginal notes to the sections (H1) Osun State INEC v. AC p. 3137

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Trial court - Duty of - Trial court enjoys the singular benefit - Of evaluating evidence - And appellate court can not interfere with findings - Except where same do not march with evidence or record (H1) Okonkwo v. Okonkwo p. 3269

vi INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2010) 12 KLR

EVIDENCE - Probative value - Title - Pleadings - Where a party fails to disclose necessary information in his evidence - Such evidence has no probative value - And goes to no issue (H7) Tanko v. Echendu p. 3205

EVIDENCE - Records - Evaluation of - Propriety - Where trial court properly evaluated evidence - On record as in this case - Appellate court need not interfere with concurrent findings (H2) Okonkwo v. Okonkwo p. 3269

EVIDENCE - Title - Self defeating evidence - Where evidence of respondent shows that - The person whom appellant allegedly sold to - Merely granted a sub lease to another - Such evidence is self defeating (H5) Tanko v. Echendu p. 3205

JUDGMENTS - Appeals - Time to appeal - Validity - The period within which to appeal - For final decision is 90 days - And not 14 days meant for interlocutory appeals (H6) General Electric Company v. Akande p. 3069

JUDGMENTS - Appeals - Finality - Basis - Where appeal has been dismissed with cost - Which decision terminated the appeal - Such decision was final and not interlocutory (H5) General Electric Company v. Akande p. 3069

JUDGMENTS - Appeals - Issues - Raising two issues - On cross appeal - Failure to determine one of the issues raised - Will affect competence of counter claim (H2) Samba Pet. Ltd. UBA p. 3289

JUDGMENTS - Reliefs - Consequential orders - Need for - Where necessary consequential order was not made - To revert to status quo ante - It would only amount to - A doubtful victory (H10) Osun State INEC v. AC p. 3137

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Consequential orders - Amaechi v. INEC - Applicability - Court of Appeal was right in granting consequential orders - To give effect to reliefs 1-5 - By setting the election aside (H11) Osun State INEC v. AC p. 3137

JURISDICTION - Source & purpose - Being conferred on Courts by Constitution - It is fundamental to adjudication - As foundation on which courts exercise judicial powers (H1) *Olofu v. Itodo* p. 3101

LAND LAW - Identity of the land - Trespass - Survey plan - Where respondent knows the land in dispute - Filing survey plan is not an absolute necessity - To prove identity of the land in dispute (H10) *Tanko v. Echendu* p. 3205

LAND LAW - Title - Claim for - Where both parties claim ownership and possession of the land - Title is a decider in the case - The absence of which makes the party in default a trespasser (H2) *Tanko v. Echendu* p. 3205

LAND LAW - Title - Competing claims by the parties - Where in issue - Plaintiff succeeds on the strength of his case - Which the court is bound to consider first (H3) *Tanko v. Echendu* p. 3205

LAND LAW - Title - Pleadings - Root of title - Where not pleaded by defendant - Evidence of when and who he bought the land from - Should be rejected for going to no issue (H6) *Tanko v. Echendu* p. 3205

LAND LAW - Title - Pleadings & evidence - Appellant's root of title - Was not specifically traversed by the respondent (H4) *Tanko v. Echendu* p. 3205

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Where respondent claims to having certificate of occupancy - Of the land in dispute - And did not plead same in his defence - Such evidence goes to no issue (H8) *Tanko v. Echendu* p. 3205

LANDLORD & TENANT - Tenancy - Quit notice - Adequacy - What constitutes adequate notice to quit - Is spelt out in the lease or tenancy agreement - Between landlord and tenant (H5) *Oketade v. Adewunmi* p. 3235

LANDLORD & TENANT - Tenancy - Quit notice - Refusal - Effect

viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2010) 12 KLR

- Where a tenant refuses to quit - A court of law can on action by landlord - Force him out of the premises (H6) Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235

LANDLORD & TENANT - Tenancy - Right to terminate - A landlord has unfettered legal right - To terminate a tenancy - Upon given an adequate notice (H4) Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Names - Legal identity - Differences - While Olujimi and Akeredolu - Is a firm with corporate existence - Name of Legal Practitioner - Has no corporate connotation (H1) Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Names - Status - Olujimi and Akeredolu - Is not a name in the roll of legal practitioners in Nigeria - Thus use of same violates S. 2 (1) & 24 Legal Practitioners Act - And affects Legal processes in this case (H2) Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235

MARTIAL LAW - Retirement of officers - Regulation of - Chapter 09. 02 (b) of Harmonised Terms and Conditions of Service - For Force Officers - Stipulates 6 months salary in lieu of notice - For officers retired not on disciplinary ground (H4) Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army p. 3251

MASTER & SERVANT - Compulsory retirement - Effect - Appellant having been compulsorily retired - Is only entitled to retirement benefits - And six months salary in lieu of notice (H6) Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army p. 3251

MASTER & SERVANT - Retirement benefits - Absence of evidence - The record did not show iota of evidence - That appellant was paid retirement benefits - Following his compulsory retirement (H1) Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army p. 3251

MASTER & SERVANT - Retirement benefits - Claim - Onus of proof - Respondents who pleaded - That appellant was paid retirement benefits - Must prove same - (H2) Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army p. 3251

MASTER & SERVANT - Retirement benefits - Misconception - Respondents assumed any payment - Made to appellant following his reinstatement - Was his retirement benefits - Which cannot be true (H3) Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army p. 3251

STATUTES - Interpretation - Of the word 'may' - In s. 23 of Kogi State Local Government Election Law, 2004 - By appellant as not being mandatory is wrong - For same does not relate to time within which to substitute candidates (H4) Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Armed Forces Act, Cap 20, laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 2004, s. 10 Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army p. 3251

Harmonised Terms and Conditions of Service for the Nigerian Armed Forces Officers, 2007, chapter 09.02 Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army p. 3251
Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, s. 236 (1) now s. 272 (1) of 1999 Okonkwo v. Okonkwo p. 3269

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, Items 11 & 12, Second Schedule, ss. 36 (1) & 169 Osun State INEC v. AC p. 3137; s. 36 (1) Okonta v. Philips p. 3095; ss. 36 (1), 233 (2) & (3) General Electric Company v. Akande p. 3069; s. 233 (3) Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235

Court of Appeal Act, Cap 36, LFN 2004, s. 15 Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101
Court of Appeal Act, cap 75, Laws of Federation of Nigeria, 1990 s. 12 Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235

Court of Appeal Act, s. 25 (1) General Electric Company v. Akande p. 3069

Court of Appeal Rules, O. 6 r. 6 General Electric Company v. Akande p. 3069

Customary Court Law No. 6 1984, s. 12 (1) Okonkwo v. Okonkwo p. 3269

Electoral Act 2006, s. 34 (1) Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101; ss. 31, 32, 34, 121, 122, & 164 Osun State INEC v. AC p. 3137

Evidence Act, 1990, s. 91 (3) Okonkwo v. Okonkwo p. 3269; s. 74 (1) Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235; s. 135 (1) Ekeagwu v. Nigerian Army p. 3251

Kaduna State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, 1987, O. 2 Samba Pet. Ltd. UBA p. 3289

Kaduna State High Court (Civil Procedure) Edict 1987, s. 5 Samba Pet. Ltd. UBA p. 3289

Kogi State Local Government Electoral Law 2004 ss. 23 & 56 Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101

Land Use Act, 1978, s. 39 (1), S. 41 Okonkwo v. Okonkwo p. 3269

Legal Practitioners Act. ss. 2 (1) & 24 Oketade v. Adewunmi p. 3235
Local Government Electoral Law, 2004, s. 74 Olofu v. Itodo p. 3101

Osun State Electoral Law, 2002, s. 10 Osun State INEC v. AC p. 3137
Supreme Court Rules, O. 2 rr. 8, 4 & 20, O. 7 r 2 (b), rr. 6 & 7 General Electric Company v. Akande p. 3069